Crossover scaling in the two-dimensional three-state Potts model
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We apply simulated tempering and magnetizing (STM) Monte Carlo simulations to the two-dimensional three-state Potts model in an external magnetic field in order to investigate the crossover scaling behaviour in the temperature-field plane at the Potts critical point and towards the Ising universality class for negative magnetic fields. Our data set has been generated by STM simulations of several square lattices with sizes up to \(160 \times 160\) spins, supplemented by conventional canonical simulations of larger lattices at selected simulation points. We present careful scaling and finite-size scaling analyses of the crossover behaviour with respect to temperature, magnetic field and lattice size.
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1. Introduction

The two-dimensional three-state Potts model in an external magnetic field \([1,2]\) has several interesting applications in condensed matter physics \([2]\), and its three-dimensional counterpart serves as an effective model for quantum chromodynamics \([3,4]\). When one of the three states per spin is disfavoured in an external (negative) magnetic field, the other two states exhibit \(Z_2\) symmetry and one expects a crossover from Potts to Ising critical behaviour. In the vicinity of the Potts critical point, another crossover effect takes place when approaching the critical point along different paths in the temperature-field plane.

To cover such a two-dimensional parameter space, generalized-ensemble Monte Carlo simulations are a useful tool \([7-10]\). Well-known examples are the multicritical (MUCA) algorithm \([11,12]\), the closely related Wang-Landau method \([13,14]\), the replica-exchange method (REM) \([15,16]\) (see also \([17,18]\)), also often referred to as parallel tempering, and simulated tempering (ST) \([19,20]\). Inspired by recent multidimensional generalizations of generalized-ensemble algorithms \([21,22]\), the “Simulated Tempering and Magnetizing” (STM) method has been proposed by two of us and tested for the classical Ising model in an external magnetic field \([24,25]\). Recently, we have extended this new simulation method to the two-dimensional three-state Potts model and by this means generated accurate numerical data in the temperature-field plane \([26]\). Here we focus on a discussion of the two above mentioned crossover-scaling
scenarios that include (for the Potts-to-Ising crossover, in particular) the analysis of the specific heat which provides the clearest signals.

The rest of this article is organized as follows. In section 2 we briefly discuss the model and review the STM method. In section 3 we present the results of our crossover-scaling analyses at the phase transitions with respect to temperature, magnetic field and lattice size. Finally, section 4 contains our conclusions and an outlook to the future work.

### 2. Model and simulation method

The two-dimensional three-state Potts model in an external magnetic field is defined through the Hamiltonian

\[ H = E - hM, \]  

\[ E = - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \delta_{\sigma_i,\sigma_j}, \]  

\[ M = \frac{N}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{0,\sigma_i}, \]  

where \( N = L^2 \) denotes the total number of spins \( \sigma_i \in 0, 1, 2 \) arranged on the sites of a square \( L \times L \) lattice with periodic boundary conditions, \( \delta \) is the Kronecker delta function and \( h \) is the external magnetic field. The sum in (2.2) runs over all nearest-neighbour pairs. Note that the magnetization \( M \) defined in (2.3) takes on the value \( M = N \) for the ordered state in 0-direction, \( M = 0 \) for the ordered states in 1- or 2-direction, and \( M = N/3 \) in the disordered phase.

By mapping the integer valued spins \( \sigma_i \) to spin vectors \( \vec{s}_i = [\cos(2\pi\sigma_i/3), \sin(2\pi\sigma_i/3)] \) one readily sees that \( E = (2/3)(-\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j - N) \) and \( M = (2/3)(M^{(x)} + N/2) \), where \( M^{(x)} \) is the component of the magnetization vector \( \vec{M} = \sum_i \vec{s}_i \) in field direction (assumed to be along the x-axis). In this equivalent notation, it is fairly obvious that the \( Z_3 \) symmetry for \( h = 0 \) is broken to \( Z_2 \) for negative external magnetic fields (see figure 1).

![Figure 1](image)

Figure 1. Schematic sketch illustrating the behaviour of the spins of the three-state Potts model in an external magnetic field \( h \). For \( h > 0 \), the spin state 0 is favoured, whereas the states 1 and 2 are disfavoured. For \( h = 0 \), all three states are equivalent. For \( h < 0 \), the spin state 0 is disfavoured and the states 1 and 2 are related to each other by \( Z_2 \) symmetry.

Another frequently employed definition of the magnetization is the so-called “maximum definition”

\[ M_{\text{max}} = Nm_{\text{max}} \equiv \frac{3}{2} \left\{ \max_{j=0,1,2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{j,\sigma_i} \right] - \frac{N}{3} \right\}, \]  

which yields the physically more intuitive value of 1 when the system is in one of the three ordered phases and 0 is in the disordered phase, respectively.

Let us now turn to a brief description of the employed Monte Carlo simulation method. In the conventional ST scheme [19, 20], the temperature is considered as an additional dynamical variable besides the spin degrees of freedom. The STM method is a generalization to a two-dimensional parameter space.
where the magnetic field is treated as the second additional dynamical variable similar to the temperature \[24]–[26]. Here, one considers

\[ e^{-\frac{(E-hM)}{T} + a(T,h)} \tag{2.5} \]

as a joint probability for \((x, T, h) \in X \otimes \{T_1, T_2, \cdots, T_{N_T}\} \otimes \{h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_{N_h}\}\)), where \(a(T,h)\) is a parameter, \(x\) denotes a (microscopic) state, and \(X\) is the sampling space. We have set Boltzmann’s constant to unity. Note that the temperature and external field are discretized into \(N_T\) and \(N_h\) values, respectively.

A suitable candidate for \(a(T_i, h_j)\) can be obtained from the (empirical) probability of occupying each set of parameter values,

\[ P(T_i, h_j) = e^{-f(T_k, h_l)} \tag{2.6} \]

where \(e^{-f(T_k, h_l)} = \int \text{d}x e^{-f(E-hM)/T_i}\). This shows that the dimensionless free energy \(f(T_i, h_j)\) is the proper choice for \(a(T_i, h_j)\) in order to generate a uniform distribution of the number of samples according to \(T\) and \(h\). This implies a random-walk-like evolution of \(T\) and \(h\) in STM simulations as it is demonstrated in figure 2 for a \(80 \times 80\) lattice. The block structures reflect the first-order phase transition line at \(h = 0\) in the Potts model and the second-order phase transition at the effective Ising transition temperature \(T_c \approx 1.1346\) for negative magnetic field.

\[ \text{Figure 2.} \text{ Time history of temperature} T \text{ and magnetic field} h \text{ in STM simulations for the linear lattice size} L = 80. \]

3. Results

Our STM simulations were performed for lattice sizes \(L = 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, \text{ and } 160\) with the total number of sweeps varying between about \(160 \times 10^6\) and \(500 \times 10^6\), where a sweep consisting of \(N\) single-spin updates with the heat-bath algorithm followed by an update of either the temperature \(T\) or the field \(h\). We used the Mersenne Twister \[27\] as quasi-random-number generator. Statistical error bars are estimated using the jackknife blocking method \[28,31\].

Due to its random-walk-like nature, the STM method, combined with reweighting techniques such as WHAM \[22]–[24] or MBAR \[25\], yields the density of states \(n(E, M)\) (up to an overall constant) in a wide range of the two-dimensional parameter space. Using these data it is straightforward to compute a two-dimensional map of any thermodynamic quantity that can be expressed in terms of \(E\) and \(M\). As an example, figure 3 shows the specific heat \(C = \langle (E^2) - \langle E \rangle^2 \rangle / T^2\) and susceptibility \(\chi = \langle (M_{\text{max}}^2) - \langle M_{\text{max}} \rangle^2 \rangle / T\) per spin as functions of \(T\) and \(h\) for \(L = 80\). We see a line of phase transitions starting at the Potts critical point at \(h = 0\), \(T_c^{\text{Potts}} = 1 / \ln(1 + \sqrt{3}) = 0.9950\) which, for strong negative magnetic fields, approaches the Ising model limit with the critical point at \(h \to -\infty\), \(T_c^{\text{Ising}} = 1 / \ln(1 + \sqrt{2}) = 1.1346\). For all \(h < 0\), the \(Z_3\) symmetry of the 3-state Potts model in zero field is broken to \(Z_2\) symmetry (recall figure 1) and by universality the critical behaviour is expected to be Ising-like.
Figure 3. (Color online) (a) Specific heat per site $C/L^2$ and (b) magnetic susceptibility per site $\chi/L^2$ as functions of $T$ and $h$ for $L = 80$. The solid vertical line corresponds to $T = 1.1346$, which is the critical temperature of the Ising model (in 2-state Potts model normalization).

For positive magnetic fields, the phase transition disappears altogether. However, for finite lattices and small $h > 0$, the singular behaviour persists to some extent due to finite-size effects. More precisely, the peaks of, e.g., the specific heat shown in figure 3 grow with an increasing lattice size $L$ until $L$ is larger than the (finite) correlation length of the system. This can be interpreted as a crossover in the dependence of field $h$ and lattice size $L$.

Figure 4. (Color online) Specific heat per site $C/L^2$ as a function of $T$. With increasing system size $L$, the peaks become more pronounced [$L = 5$ (dashed red), 10 (dotted green), 20 (solid black), 40 (dash dotted purple), and 80 (solid blue)], (a) $h = 0.0$, (b) $h = 0.005$, (c) $h = 0.01$, (d) $h = 0.02$.

To study, in the vicinity of the Potts critical point, the crossover-scaling behaviour in the $T - h$ plane, we calculated the magnetization $m = M/L^2$ by reweighting. Its scaling form is given by

$$m(T, h, L) = L^{-\beta/y} \Psi(tL^{y_t}, hL^{y_h}),$$

where $y_t = 1/\nu$ and $y_h = (\beta + \gamma)/\nu$ are the usual scaling dimensions which can be expressed in terms of standard critical exponents. For easier reference, we have collected the exactly known critical exponents of the two-dimensional Ising and Potts models in table 1. The actually observed exponent depends on the precise path in which the critical point is approached in the $T - h$ plane. According to the crossover
scaling formalism \[36\] in the limit of an infinite lattice, if \( t^{-y_h/y_t} h \) (in the Potts model \( t^{-14/9} h \)) is small enough, then the magnetization obeys \( m \sim t^\beta \) (\( t \rightarrow T_\text{c} \)), and otherwise it scales as \( m \sim h^{1/6} \) (\( t \rightarrow T_c \)). Figure 5(a) shows that as long as finite-size effects are negligible \( (L^{6/5} t \gg 0.1) \) and \( t \gg (h/6)^{9/14} \) (i.e., \( t^{-14/9} h \) is small), then the critical behaviour is \( m \sim t^{1/9} \). Figure 5(b) shows that if finite-size effects are negligible \( (L^{28/15} h \gg 0.1) \) and \( t \ll (h/6)^{9/14} \) (i.e., \( t^{-14/9} h \) is large), then the critical behaviour is \( m \sim h^{1/14} \). Thus, figure 5 clearly shows that the line \( h = 6t^{14/9} \) gives the boundary of the two scaling regimes.

Since the three-state Potts model in a negative magnetic field is expected to behave like the Ising model, we also investigated the crossover between these two models using finite-size scaling techniques. For the susceptibility maximum \( \chi_{\text{max}} \propto L^{1/\nu} \), the finite-size scaling exponent of the Potts and Ising model is given by \( \gamma/\nu = 26/15 = 1.7333... \) and \( 7/4 = 1.75 \), respectively. Figure 6 shows that the exponents are so similar that we can hardly distinguish the difference, despite the accuracy of the measurements. The difference is much more pronounced for the maxima of the specific heat which are expected to scale with the system size \( L \) with an exponent \( a/\nu = 2/5 \) for the Potts and \( a/\nu = 0 \), i.e., logarithmically, for the Ising model. We also measured different quantities, which are the maximum values of \( \ln(m_{\text{max}}^k) \), \( \ln(m_{\text{max}}^2) \), \( \ln(U_2) \), and \( \ln(U_1) \). Here, \( U_2 = 1 - \frac{\langle m_{\text{max}}^2 \rangle}{\langle m_{\text{max}} \rangle^2} \) and \( U_1 = 1 - \frac{\langle m_{\text{max}}^4 \rangle}{3\langle m_{\text{max}}^2 \rangle^2} \) are the Binder cumulants \[37\]. The derivatives were obtained by using \[38\]

\[
\frac{d \ln \langle m_{\text{max}}^k \rangle}{d \beta} = \langle E \rangle - \langle m_{\text{max}}^k \rangle.
\]

\[
\frac{d \ln \langle U_2 \rangle}{d \beta} = \frac{-\langle m_{\text{max}}^2 \rangle}{3 \langle m_{\text{max}} \rangle^2} \left( \langle E \rangle - 2 \frac{\langle m_{\text{max}}^2 \rangle}{\langle m_{\text{max}} \rangle^2} + \frac{\langle m_{\text{max}}^4 \rangle}{\langle m_{\text{max}}^2 \rangle^2} \right).
\]

\[
\frac{d \langle m_{\text{max}}^2 \rangle}{d \beta} = \langle m_{\text{max}} \rangle \langle E \rangle - \langle m_{\text{max}}^2 \rangle.
\]

Figure 6 shows our results. Note that \( \frac{d \ln(m_{\text{max}})}{d \beta} \), \( \frac{d \ln(m_{\text{max}}^2)}{d \beta} \), \( \frac{d \ln(U_2)}{d \beta} \), and \( \frac{d \ln(U_1)}{d \beta} \) are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>( y_t )</th>
<th>( y_h )</th>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>( \gamma )</th>
<th>( \delta )</th>
<th>( \nu )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ising</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15/8</td>
<td>0 (log)</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>7/4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potts</td>
<td>6/5</td>
<td>28/15</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>1/9</td>
<td>13/9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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\[ \frac{d(m_{\text{max}})}{dh} \] are expected to behave asymptotically as \( L^{1/\nu} \), \( L^{1/\nu} \), \( L^{1/\nu} \), and \( L^{(1-\beta)/\nu} \), respectively, as the lattice size \( L \) increases. These critical exponents are presented for the Potts model by \( 1/\nu = 6/5 \) and \( (1-\beta)/\nu = 16/15 \), and for the Ising model by \( 1/\nu = 1 \) and \( (1-\beta)/\nu = 7/8 \) (see table 1). We observe that all quantities for \( h = 0 \) (red curve with filled circles) follow the Potts case and that those for negative external field (green curve with filled up triangles and blue curve with filled down triangles) follow the Ising case in the limit of large \( L \). In fact, the two curves for \( h = -0.5 \) and \( h = -1.0 \) converge into almost the same line as \( L \) increases. On the other hand, the (green) curve for \( h = -0.5 \) exhibits greater deviation from the scaling behaviour for small \( L \). This can also be understood as another crossover effect governed by \( h \) and \( L \).

![Figure 6](image_url)

**Figure 6.** (Color online) Finite-size scaling behaviour of \( \chi_{\text{max}} \), \( C_{\text{max}} \), \( \frac{d\ln(\Omega(h))}{dh} \) \( \chi_{\text{max}} \), and \( \frac{d(m_{\text{max}})}{dh} \) \( \chi_{\text{max}} \) for three characteristic \( h \) values.

### 4. Conclusions

In this work, we reported the scaling and finite-size scaling analyses of the two-dimensional three-state Potts model in a magnetic field based on the data generated using the Simulated Tempering and Magnetizing (STM) method. In such simulations, the random walk in temperature and magnetic field covers a wide range of these parameters so that STM simulations enable one to study crossover phenomena with a single simulation run.

By this means we calculated the magnetization, susceptibility, energy, specific heat and related quantities as functions of temperature, magnetic field, and lattice size around the critical point using reweighting techniques. These data allowed us to extract the crossover behaviours of phase transitions. First, at the Potts critical point for \( h = 0 \), we observed a clear crossover of the scaling behaviours of the magnetization with respect to temperature and magnetic field. Second, from an analysis of the specific heat and
Crossover scaling in the two-dimensional three-state Potts model

other quantities, a crossover in the scaling laws with respect to (negative) magnetic field and lattice size was identified, thereby verifying the expected crossover from 3-state Potts to Ising critical behaviour.

The data of the present work yield the two-dimensional density of states $n(E, M)$ (up to an overall constant) which determines the weight factor for two-dimensional multicanonical simulations. We can also perform two-dimensional multicanonical simulations, which will be an interesting future task.

As a final remark we should like to stress that the present method is useful not only for spin systems as considered here but also for other complex systems with many degrees of freedom. Since our method does not require any change of the frequently rather intricate energy calculations, it should be highly compatible with the available program packages.
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Ми застосовуємо Монте Карло симуляції з симульованим темперуванням і намагніченням (STM) до двовимірної тристанової моделі Поттса у зовнішньому магнітному полі для того, щоб дослідити кросоверну скейлінгову поведінку у площині температура-поле при критичній точці Поттса, а також клас універсальності моделі Ізинга для негативних магнітних полів. Набір наших даних був згенерований STM симуляціями декількох квадратних граток розміром до 160 × 160 спінів, доповненими звичайними канонічними симуляціями більших граток при вибраних симуляційних точках. Ми представляємо ретельний аналіз скейлінгу і скінченомірного скейлінгу кросоверної поведінки по відношенню до температури, магнітного поля і розміру гратки.

Ключові слова: тристанова модель Поттса, фазові переходи, критичні явища, скейлінг кросоверу, симуляції Монте Карло, симулюване темперування і намагнічення (STM)