Національна академія наук України



ICMP-19-05E

D.A. Dobushovskiy, A.M. Shvaika

THERMOELECTRIC PROPERTIES OF MOTT INSULATOR WITH CORRELATED HOPPING AT MICRODOPING

ЛЬВІВ

УДК: 538.935 РАСS: 72 15 If 72 20 P₂ 71 27 ⊥₂ 71 1

PACS: 72.15.Jf, 72.20.Pa, 71.27.+a, 71.10.Fd

Термоелектричні властивості діелектрика Мотта з корельованим переносом при мікролегуванні

Д.А. Добушовський, А.М. Швайка

Анотація. Обговорюється вплив індукованої корельованим переносом локалізації колективізованих електронів на електронний транспорт заряду і тепла в слабко легованій фазі моттівського діелектрика моделі Фалікова-Кімбала. Детально розглядається випадок сильно редукованого переносу між вузлами із заповненими рівнями f-електронів, коли на густині станів виникає додаткова зона локалізованих станів d-електронів у моттівській щілині. Внаслідок сильної електрон-діркової асиметрії і появи аномальних особливостей на густині станів та транспортній функції, спостерігається сильне зростання коефіцієнта Зеєбека при низьких температурах, коли він слабко змінюється в широкому діапазоні температур.

Thermoelectric properties of Mott insulator with correlated hopping at microdoping

D.A. Dobushovskyi, A.M. Shvaika

Abstract. An influence of the induced by correlated hopping localization of itinerant electrons on the electronic charge and heat transport is discussed for the lightly doped Mott insulator phase of the Falicov-Kimball model. The case of strongly reduced hopping amplitude between the sites with occupied f-electron levels, when an additional band of localized d-electron states could appear on the DOS in the Mott gap, is considered. Due to the electron-hole asymmetry and anomalous features on the DOS and transport function induced by correlated hopping, the strong enhancement of the Seebeck coefficient is observed at low temperatures, when the flatted dependence is displays in a wide temperature range.

Подається в Condensed Matter Physics Submitted to Condensed Matter Physics

© Інститут фізики конденсованих систем 2019 Institute for Condensed Matter Physics 2019 Препринти Інституту фізики конденсованих систем НАН України розповсюджуються серед наукових та інформаційних установ. Вони також доступні по електронній комп'ютерній мережі на WWW-сервері інституту за адресою http://www.icmp.lviv.ua/

The preprints of the Institute for Condensed Matter Physics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine are distributed to scientific and informational institutions. They also are available by computer network from Institute's WWW server (http://www.icmp.lviv.ua/)

Данило Андрійович Добушовський Андрій Михайлович Швайка

Термоелектричні властивості діелектрика Мотта з корельованим переносом при мікролегуванні

Роботу отримано 17 грудня 2019 р.

Затверджено до друку Вченою радою ІФКС НАН України

Рекомендовано до друку відділом квантової статистики

Виготовлено при ІФКС НАН України © Усі права застережені

1. Introduction

During last decade, various systems with specific electron properties are attracting a great interest of investigators. They include the one- and two-dimensional organic conductors, three-dimensional solids and topological conductors, and up to the fermionic atoms on optical lattices. Many of their properties can be explained only by the proper treating of the electron dynamics involving the many-electron effects and electron correlations. One of the perspective applications of such systems can be the power generation or cooling through the thermoelectric effect. Theoretical description of the thermoelectric transport in strongly correlated electron systems is a challenge and requires developing of the new approaches, see e.g. reference [1], and most of the previous investigations were done for the models with local single-site correlations of the Hubbard or Anderson type.

But it was first pointed by Hubbard [2], that the second quantized representation of the inter-electron Coulomb interaction contains, besides the local term $U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}$, the nonlocal contributions including the inter-site Coulomb interaction $\sum_{ij} V_{ij} \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j$ and the so-called correlated hopping

$$\sum_{ij\sigma} t_{ij}^{(2)} (\hat{n}_{i\bar{\sigma}} + \hat{n}_{j\bar{\sigma}}) c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma}, \qquad (1.1)$$

which introduces a new physical effects because now the value of intersite hopping depends on the occupation of these states.

Local Coulomb interaction is a subject of the famous Hubbard model and has been investigated for many decades in the theory of strongly correlated electron systems, whereas the correlated hopping attracts much less attention. Mainly, it was considered in connection with elaboration of new mechanisms for high temperature superconductivity [3, 4], description of organic compounds [5] and molecular crystals [6], electron-hole asymmetry [7], and enhancement of magnetic properties [8]. Last years show, that correlated hopping is an important puzzle in the physics of quantum dots [9–11] and it appears in a natural way in modelling of the fermionic [12,13] and bosonic [14–16] atoms on optical lattices. However, due to its nonlocal character, the theoretical treatment of correlated hopping is difficult and, in most cases, the solutions can only be obtained by rather drastic approximations.

The exact results, that one can obtain in some special cases, are of great importance, as they can be used for benchmarking various approximations. In this article, we examine the Falicov-Kimball model [17], the simplest model of strongly correlated electrons, which considers the

local interaction between the itinerant d electrons and localized f electrons. It is a binary alloy type model and it displays a variety of modulated phases [18–21] in the ground state phase diagram for the onedimensional (D = 1) and two-dimensional (D = 2) cases. The main advantage of the Falicov-Kimball model is in the featuring of an exact solution in infinite dimensions [22, 23] within the dynamical mean field theory (DMFT) [24, 25]. Its extension by inclusion of correlated hopping was also considered and the DMFT solution with a nonlocal self-energy were provided [26, 27].

In our previous articles [28, 29], we have considered the charge and heat transport as well as optical conductivity spectra for the Falicov-Kimball model with correlated hopping on Bethe lattice. We have calculated the one particle density of states (DOS) and two particle transport function (the "quasiparticle" scattering time) for a wide range of the correlated hopping parameter values and have observed the singularities on transport function due to the resonant two-particle contributions. whereas the one particle DOS does not show any anomalous features. By tuning the doping of itinerant electrons, one can bring the chemical potential close to the resonant frequency and a large increase of the electrical and thermal conductivities and of the thermoelectric power can be achieved. Simultaneously, the strong enhancement of the Drude peek is developed on optical conductivity spectra and the strong deviation from the Debye relaxation is observed at low temperatures. On the other hand, for some values of correlated hopping, when the hopping amplitude between the occupied sites is reduced sufficiently, itinerant electrons localize in the clusters of sites occupied by f-electrons giving rise to an additional narrow band in the DOS between the lower and upper Hubbard bands separated by additional gap.

It was shown already by Zlatić and Freericks [30] and Zlatić et al. [31] that light doping of the Mott insulator hugely enhanced its thermoelectric properties and electronic thermal transport, which displays a universal behaviour in the case of bad metals. But nobody have investigated these for the systems with correlated hopping. The main subject of this article is to study the dc charge and thermal transport as well as thermopower for the Falicov-Kimball model with correlated hopping at light doping levels.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we present the DMFT solution for the Falicov-Kimball model with correlated hopping on a Bethe lattice and provide derivation of the expressions for the charge and thermal transport coefficients. In section 3, we present our results for the charge and thermal transport for different values of the corre-

lated hopping parameters and for different doping levels. The results are summarized in section 4.

2. Dynamical mean-field theory for thermoelectric transport on Bethe lattice with correlated hopping

We consider the Falicov-Kimball model [17] with correlated hopping described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = H_{\rm loc} + H_t, \tag{2.1}$$

which contains two terms:

$$H_{\rm loc} = \sum_{i} \left[U n_{id} n_{if} - \mu_f n_{if} - \mu_d n_{id} \right]$$
(2.2)

includes local correlations between the itinerant $d\mbox{-}{\rm electrons}$ and localized $f\mbox{-}{\rm electrons}$ and

$$H_t = \sum_{\langle ij\rangle} \frac{t_{ij}^*}{\sqrt{Z}} \left[t_1 d_i^{\dagger} d_j + t_2 d_i^{\dagger} d_j \left(n_{if} + n_{jf} \right) + t_3 d_i^{\dagger} d_j n_{if} n_{jf} \right]$$
(2.3)

describes the nearest-neighbor inter-site hopping with amplitude t_1 and nonlocal correlations, the so-called correlated hopping, with amplitudes t_2 and t_3 on the Bethe lattice with infinite coordination number, $Z \to \infty$. The occupation of localized f states is conserved, $[n_{if}, H] = 0$, and, by introducing the projection operators $P_i^+ = n_{if}$ and $P_i^- = 1 - n_{if}$, one can define the projected d-electron operators

$$\boldsymbol{d}_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{i}P_{i}^{+} \\ d_{i}P_{i}^{-} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (2.4)$$

such that the nonlocal term can be rewritten in a compact matrix form [27]

$$H_{t} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \frac{t_{ij}^{*}}{\sqrt{Z}} \Big[t^{++} P_{i}^{+} d_{i}^{\dagger} d_{j} P_{j}^{+} + t^{--} P_{i}^{-} d_{i}^{\dagger} d_{j} P_{j}^{-} + t^{+-} P_{i}^{+} d_{i}^{\dagger} d_{j} P_{j}^{-} + t^{-+} P_{i}^{-} d_{i}^{\dagger} d_{j} P_{j}^{+} \Big] = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \frac{t_{ij}^{*}}{\sqrt{Z}} d_{i}^{\dagger} \mathbf{t} d_{j} . \quad (2.5)$$

Here, the hopping matrix

$$\mathbf{t} = \begin{bmatrix} t^{++} & t^{+-} \\ t^{-+} & t^{--} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.6)

is defined in terms of the initial hopping amplitudes by

$$t^{--} = t_1, \qquad t^{+-} = t^{-+} = t_1 + t_2, \qquad t^{++} = t_1 + 2t_2 + t_3, \qquad (2.7)$$

where t^{--} , $t^{+-} = t^{-+}$, and t^{++} describe hopping between the sites with different filling of *f*-states: both empty, one empty and one occupied, and both occupied, respectively.

Accordingly, the matrix Green's function for projected *d*-electrons $\mathbf{G}_{ij} = [G_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}]$, where $\alpha, \beta = \pm$, is defined by

$$\mathbf{G}_{ij}(\tau - \tau') = -\left\langle \mathcal{T}\boldsymbol{d}_i(\tau) \otimes \boldsymbol{d}_j^{\dagger}(\tau') \right\rangle, \qquad (2.8)$$

where \mathcal{T} is the imaginary-time ordering operator and the angular bracket denotes the quantum statistical averaging with respect to H. Due to the nonlocal character of correlated hopping, it is convenient to treat H_t as perturbation and expand around the atomic limit. The corresponding Dyson-type equation can be written in a matrix form as

$$\mathbf{G}_{ij}(\omega) = \mathbf{\Xi}_{ij}(\omega) + \sum_{\langle i'j' \rangle} \mathbf{\Xi}_{ij'}(\omega) \cdot \frac{t_{j'i'}^{*}}{\sqrt{Z}} \mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{i'j}(\omega), \qquad (2.9)$$

where $\Xi_{ij}(\omega)$ is the irreducible cumulant [25, 32].

It can be shown that the irreducible cumulant is local in the limit of infinite coordination $Z \to \infty$ [32], $\Xi_{ij}(\omega) = \delta_{ij}\Xi(\omega)$, and can be calculated within the dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT). Now, the formal solution of the Dyson equation (2.9) for lattice Green's function can be written in a matrix form as

$$\mathbf{G}_{\epsilon}(\omega) = \left[\mathbf{\Xi}^{-1}(\omega) - \mathbf{t}\epsilon\right]^{-1}$$
(2.10)

with the components

$$G_{\epsilon}^{\beta\alpha}(\omega) = \frac{A_{\beta\alpha}(\omega) - B_{\beta\alpha}\epsilon}{C(\omega) - D(\omega)\epsilon + \epsilon^2 \det \mathbf{t}}.$$
(2.11)

Here, the band energy is distributed according to the density of states $\rho(\epsilon)$, the semi-elliptic one for the Bethe lattice

$$\rho(\epsilon) = \frac{2}{\pi W^2} \sqrt{W^2 - \epsilon^2}, \qquad (2.12)$$

and we introduced two adjugate matrices

$$\mathbf{A}(\omega) = \operatorname{adj} \mathbf{\Xi}^{-1}(\omega) = \mathbf{\Xi}(\omega) / \det \mathbf{\Xi}(\omega)$$
 (2.13)

and

$$\mathbf{B} = \operatorname{adj} \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}^{-1} \operatorname{det} \mathbf{t} \,. \tag{2.14}$$

In our case, the scalars C and D are given by

$$C(\omega) = \det \mathbf{A}(\omega) = \det \mathbf{\Xi}^{-1}(\omega) = 1/\det \mathbf{\Xi}(\omega), \qquad (2.15)$$

and

$$D(\omega) = \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathbf{A}(\omega) \mathbf{t} \right] = \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathbf{\Xi}^{-1}(\omega) \mathbf{B} \right].$$
 (2.16)

An irreducible cumulant $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{ij}(\omega)$ can be found as a solution of the DMFT equations

$$\mathbf{G}_{\text{local}}(\omega) \equiv \mathbf{G}_{ii}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\epsilon \rho(\epsilon) \mathbf{G}_{\epsilon}(\omega) = \left[\mathbf{\Xi}^{-1}(\omega) - \mathbf{\Lambda}(\omega)\right]^{-1} = \mathbf{G}_{\text{imp}}(\omega).$$
(2.17)

where the local lattice Green's functions is equated with the one of an auxiliary impurity embedded in a self-consistent bath, described by the time-dependent mean field $\mathbf{\Lambda}(\omega) = [\lambda^{\alpha\beta}(\omega)]$ (λ -field). For the Bethe lattice, we can rewrite the DMFT equation (2.17) as [27]

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}(\omega) = \frac{W^2}{4} \mathbf{t} \mathbf{G}_{\rm imp}(\omega) \mathbf{t}$$
(2.18)

and in numerical calculations we use W = 2, which defines our energy scale.

For the Falicov-Kimball model with correlated hopping, the components of the Green's function of impurity are given by an exact expressions [27]

$$G_{\rm imp}^{++}(\omega) = w_1 g_1(\omega),$$

$$G_{\rm imp}^{--}(\omega) = w_0 g_0(\omega),$$

$$G_{\rm imp}^{+-}(\omega) = G_{\rm imp}^{-+}(\omega) = 0,$$
(2.19)

where $w_1 = \langle P^+ \rangle = \langle n_f \rangle$, $w_0 = \langle P^- \rangle = \langle 1 - n_f \rangle$, and

$$g_0(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega + \mu_d - \lambda^{--}(\omega)},$$

$$g_1(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega + \mu_d - U - \lambda^{++}(\omega)}$$
(2.20)

are the impurity Green's functions of a conduction electron in the presence of a f-state which is either permanently empty or occupied, respectively. After substitution of these expressions in (2.18), one can get the 4th order polynomial equations for $g_0(\omega)$ or $g_1(\omega)$ and details of its solution are given in [28].

For the local single-particle Green's function

$$G_{ii}(\tau - \tau') = -\left\langle \mathcal{T}d_i(\tau)d_i^{\dagger}(\tau')\right\rangle, \qquad (2.21)$$

we have

$$G_{ii}(\omega) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=\pm} G^{\alpha\beta}_{\rm imp}(\omega) = w_0 g_0(\omega) + w_1 g_1(\omega), \qquad (2.22)$$

and, finally, the renormalized DOS of the lattice is expressed in terms of the impurity Green's function

$$A_d(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} G_{ii}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \left[w_0 \operatorname{Im} g_0(\omega) + w_1 \operatorname{Im} g_1(\omega) \right] . \quad (2.23)$$

The chemical potential for *d*-electrons μ_d is obtained by solving the equation

$$n_d = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega f(\omega) \operatorname{Im} G_{ii}(\omega), \qquad (2.24)$$

where $f(\omega) = 1/(e^{\omega/T} + 1)$ is the Fermi function, for a given value of their concentration $n_d = \langle n_d \rangle$.

We now proceed to the calculation of transport properties by linear response theory. The dc charge conductivity

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\rm dc} = e^2 \mathbf{L}_{11}, \qquad (2.25)$$

the Seebeck coefficient (thermoelectric power $\boldsymbol{E} = \mathbf{S} \nabla T$)

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{eT} \mathbf{L}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{L}_{12}, \qquad (2.26)$$

and the electronic contribution to thermal conductivity

$$\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\mathrm{e}} = \frac{1}{T} \left[\mathbf{L}_{22} - \mathbf{L}_{21} \mathbf{L}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{L}_{12} \right]$$
(2.27)

are expressed in terms of the transport integrals [33-36]

$$\mathbf{L}_{lm} = \frac{\sigma_0}{e^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \left[-\frac{df(\omega)}{d\omega} \right] \mathbf{I}(\omega) \omega^{l+m-2}, \qquad (2.28)$$

where $\mathbf{I}(\omega)$ is the transport function. In the considered case of correlated hopping, the DMFT expression for transport function reads

$$I(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int d\epsilon \rho(\epsilon) \Phi_{xx}(\epsilon) \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathbf{t} \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{G}_{\epsilon}(\omega) \mathbf{t} \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{G}_{\epsilon}(\omega) \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\alpha\beta\alpha'\beta'} t^{\alpha\beta} t^{\alpha'\beta'} \int d\epsilon \rho(\epsilon) \Phi_{xx}(\epsilon) \operatorname{Im} G_{\epsilon}^{\beta\alpha'}(\omega) \operatorname{Im} G_{\epsilon}^{\beta'\alpha}(\omega), \quad (2.29)$$

where $\Phi_{xx}(\epsilon)$ is the so-called lattice-specific transport DOS [37] and, for the $Z = \infty$ Bethe lattice with semielliptic DOS, the *f*-sum rule yields [38]

$$\Phi_{xx}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{3Z} \left(W^2 - \epsilon^2 \right) \,. \tag{2.30}$$

Finally, the transport function reads [28]

$$I(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \Psi'[E_1(\omega)] + \Psi'[E_2(\omega)] \right\} - \frac{\operatorname{Im} \Psi[E_1(\omega)]}{\operatorname{Im} E_1(\omega)} - \frac{\operatorname{Im} \Psi[E_2(\omega)]}{\operatorname{Im} E_2(\omega)} - K(\omega) \left\{ \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} E_1(\omega)} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\Psi[E_1(\omega)]}{[E_1(\omega) - E_2(\omega)] [E_1(\omega) - E_2^*(\omega)]} + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} E_2(\omega)} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\Psi[E_2(\omega)]}{[E_2(\omega) - E_1(\omega)] [E_2(\omega) - E_1^*(\omega)]} \right\} \right],$$
(2.31)

where E_1 and E_2 are the roots of the denominator in equation (2.11), $C(\omega) - D(\omega)\epsilon + \epsilon^2 \det \mathbf{t} = 0$, given by

$$E_1(\omega) = \frac{D(\omega)}{2 \det \mathbf{t}} \left[1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4C(\omega)}{D^2(\omega)} \det \mathbf{t}} \right], \qquad (2.32)$$

$$E_2(\omega) = \frac{2C(\omega)}{D(\omega)} \left[1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4C(\omega)}{D^2(\omega)} \det \mathbf{t}} \right]^{-1}, \qquad (2.33)$$

and $K(\omega)$ reads

$$K(\omega) = 2\operatorname{Re}[E_1(\omega)E_2^*(\omega)] - \frac{1}{\det \mathbf{t}}\operatorname{Re}\operatorname{Tr}[\mathbf{A}^*(\omega)\mathbf{\Xi}^{-1}(\omega)].$$
(2.34)

Here,

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \int d\epsilon \frac{\rho(\epsilon)}{\zeta - \epsilon} \Phi_{xx}(\epsilon),$$

$$\Psi'(\zeta) = \frac{d\Psi(\zeta)}{d\zeta},$$
 (2.35)

7

and, for the semielliptic DOS, we find

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \frac{1}{3} \left[(W^2 - \zeta^2) F(\zeta) + \zeta \right],$$

$$\Psi'(\zeta) = \frac{1}{3} \left[(W^2 - \zeta^2) F'(\zeta) + 1 - 2\zeta F(\zeta) \right],$$
(2.36)

where

$$F(\zeta) = \int d\epsilon \frac{\rho(\epsilon)}{\zeta - \epsilon} = \frac{2}{W^2} \left(\zeta - \sqrt{\zeta^2 - W^2} \right),$$

$$F'(\zeta) = \frac{dF(\zeta)}{d\zeta} = \frac{\zeta F(\zeta) - 2}{\zeta^2 - W^2}.$$
(2.37)

In addition, we calculate the Lorentz number

$$L = \frac{\kappa_{\rm e}}{\sigma_{\rm dc}T} = \frac{1}{e^2 T^2} \left[\frac{L_{22}}{L_{11}} - \left(\frac{L_{12}}{L_{11}} \right)^2 \right], \qquad (2.38)$$

which for the pure metal with degenerate fermions is equal $L_0 = \pi^2/3$, as it follows from the Wiedemann-Franz law.

3. Results and discussion

According to equations (2.25)–(2.28), the transport coefficients are determined by the shape and value of the transport function $I(\omega)$ within the so-called Fermi window, defined by function $[-df(\omega)/d\omega]$, around the Fermi level (chemical potential value) [36]. The largest thermoelectric effect can be observed when transport function is strongly asymmetric at the chemical potential, e.g. it is nonzero on the one side from the chemical potential and zero on the other one. Such case can be achieved in a lightly doped Mott insulators, when the chemical potential is stuck at the band edge [30].

In the case of correlated hopping, the Mott insulator phase has some specific features. First of all, the DOS $A_d(\omega)$ is strongly asymmetric and contains two Hubbard bands, lower and upper one, with spectral weights $w_0 = 1 - n_f$ and $w_1 = n_f$, respectively. The Mott gap is the largest at $t_2 = -0.5$ value, see figure 1(a), when $t^{++} = 0$ and hopping between the sites with occupied f states is prohibited (here and below we put $t_1 = 1$ and consider the case of $t_3 = 0$). Besides, at half filling, $n_f = 0.5$, and exactly at $t_2 = -0.5$ value, there is a square root singularity at the lower edge of the upper Hubbard band, figure 2, which strongly effects the temperature behaviour of the chemical potential. At high temperatures,



Figure 1. (Colour online) (a,c) Density of states $A_d(\omega)$ and (b,d) transport function $I(\omega)$ for U = 2.0 and (a,b) $n_f = 0.5$ and (c,d) $n_f = 0.75$ depending on the correlated hopping parameter t_2 .



Figure 2. Density of states $A_d(\omega)$ and transport function $I(\omega)$ for U = 2.0, $n_f = 0.5$, and $t_2 = -0.5$ $(t^{++} = 0)$.

the chemical potential μ_d is placed closer to the lower Hubbard band, but with the temperature decrease it starts to approach the centre of the Mott gap.

The shape of transport function $I(\omega)$ is different and it is strongly affected by the resonant peek [28] placed at the frequency $\omega = \omega_{\rm res}$ given by

$$\omega_{\rm res} + \mu_d = \frac{U}{1 - \eta} \tag{3.1}$$

with

$$\eta = \frac{(t^{+-})^2}{(t^{--})^2} - \frac{(t^{+-})^2 - \sqrt{(t^{+-})^4 + 4w_1w_0\left[(t^{++}t^{--})^2 - (t^{+-})^4\right]}}{2(t^{--})^2w_0}.$$
 (3.2)

Transport function displays a power law frequency dependence at the top of the lower Hubbard band [39], instead of the square root one for DOS, and an anomalous step-like feature at the bottom of the upper Hubbard band. As a result, the temperature dependences of transport coefficients, figure 3, strongly depend on the doping character and its level. At half filling $n_d = 0.5$ and in the Mott insulator phase, the dc charge and thermal conductivities display typical dependences for the large gap insulators with an exponential decay at $\beta = 1/T \rightarrow +\infty$ with divergent Lorentz number L. On the other hand, the Seebeck coefficient is negative and follows the 1/T dependence at small temperatures, which results from the mentioned above strong asymmetry of the transport function, power law dependence for the lower Hubbard band and step-like feature for the upper one, see, for comparison, [40].



Figure 3. (Colour online) Temperature dependences of the dc charge $\sigma_{\rm dc}$ and thermal $\kappa_{\rm e}$ conductivities, Lorentz number L ($L_0 = \pi^2/3$), and thermopower (Seebeck coefficient) S for U = 2.0, $n_f = 0.5$, $t_2 = -0.5$ ($t^{++} = 0$), $n_d = 0.5 + n_c$.

Now, let us consider an effect of doping. For hole doping, $n_d = 0.5 + n_c$ and $n_c < 0$, the chemical potential is placed at T = 0 somewhere in at the top of lower Hubbard band. The temperature dependences of the dc charge and thermal conductivities display at high temperatures the same behaviour as in the Mott insulator case. For low temperatures, when the chemical potential enters the lower Hubbard band, the dc charge conductivity starts to increase, as it should be for bad metal, and the thermal one displays linear temperature dependence. The strongest doping effect is observed for the thermoelectric transport. Because now the chemical potential approaches the lower Hubbard band with decreasing of temperature faster than in the Mott insulator case, the Seebeck coefficient increases faster too until the chemical potential enters the lower Hubbard band. After that, the transport function becomes smooth within the Fermi window resulting in the lowering of the absolute value of Seebeck coefficient at low temperatures. One can notice the strong deviation of the temperature dependence of thermopower S(T) from the one typical for bad metals [31] and specific for light doping [30]. Now, S(T) exhibits almost linear temperature dependence below the peek, which is caused by the character of the temperature dependence of the chemical potential due to the sharp features of DOS. Similar behaviour is observed for an electron doping, $n_c > 0$, but now the Seebeck coefficient becomes positive when the chemical potential approaches the upper Hubbard band, and transport coefficients are larger in comparison with the hole doping case because the transport function is larger for the upper Hubbard band in comparison with the lower one. Also, the linear segment on S(T)extends and becomes flattened.

The results presented above were obtained for the special case of $t_2 = -0.5$, when the hopping between the sites with occupied f states is zero, $t^{++} = 0$. In figures 4–5, we present results for the case with small values of $t^{++} = 0.04$. Now, the edge singularity on DOS is smoothed in narrow peek, whereas the step-like feature on transport function is replaced by the resonant peek. Nevertheless, the discussed above features preserve, and we observe only quantitative changes in the temperature dependences of the transport coefficient. The only prominent effect is visible for the electron doping case, $n_c > 0$, when the step-like feature on transport function is smoothed leading to the faster decreasing of the Seebeck coefficient at $T \rightarrow 0$.

Above we have considered the case of half filling of f particle states, $n_f = 0.5$. However, in our previous investigations [28], it was found that for the case of f particle doping, $n_f > 0.5$, the third narrow band appears [figure 1 (c) and (d)] when the hopping amplitude between the



Figure 4. Density of states $A_d(\omega)$ and transport function $I(\omega)$ for U = 2.0, $n_f = 0.5$, and $t_2 = -0.48$ ($t^{++} = 0.04$).



Figure 5. (Colour online) Temperature dependences of the dc charge $\sigma_{\rm dc}$ and thermal $\kappa_{\rm e}$ conductivities, Lorentz number L ($L_0 = \pi^2/3$), and thermopower (Seebeck coefficient) S for U = 2.0, $n_f = 0.5$, $t_2 = -0.48$ ($t^{++} = 0.04$), $n_d = 0.5 + n_c$.

sites occupied by f particle become very small, $|t^{++}| \ll t_1$. Now, the DOS contains three band: the lower and upper Hubbard bands with equal spectral weights $w_0 = 1 - n_f$ and the narrow middle band with spectral weight $2n_f - 1$ arising from the localized d electron states in the

clusters of sites with occupied f states formed at $n_f > 0.5$. Hence, one can consider two cases of Mott insulators: the large gap Mott insulator for $n_d = 1 - n_f$ and the small gap one for $n_d = n_f$. For $t^{++} = 0$ $(t_2 = -0.5)$, this middle band shrinks to a level with a δ -peek on DOS, whereas it gives no any contribution to transport function (figure 6). Hence, the gaps on the DOS and transport function are of different width. In the cases of the pure large gap insulator, $n_d = 1 - n_f + n_c$ with $n_c = 0$, at very low temperatures, $T \to 0$, the chemical potential is placed in the centre of large gap, i.e., in a middle between the top of lower Hubbard band and δ -peek from localized states on DOS. On the other hand, these localized states do not contribute in transport coefficients and the transport function $I(\omega)$ "feels" the larger gap between the top of the lower Hubbard band and the bottom of the upper one. But now, the chemical potential is shifted from the centre of the gap on transport function leading to an additional strong enhancement of thermopower S(T) at $T \to 0$, not seen in figure 7, which results in the strong reduction of the Lorentz number by the second term in (2.38) at low temperatures, in contrast to the divergent behaviour observed for typical Mott insulators.



Figure 6. Density of states $A_d(\omega)$ and transport function $I(\omega)$ for U = 2.0, $n_f = 0.75$, and $t_2 = -0.5$ ($t^{++} = 0$).

For the lightly hole doped large gap insulator, $n_c < 0$, the behaviour is similar to the one considered above (figure 7). On the other hand, for the case of electron doping, $n_c > 0$, and at low temperatures, the chemical potential is placed in the middle level of localized states separated by small gap from the upper Hubbard band which it never enters. Now, the transport function is always very asymmetric on different sides of the Fermi level, within the Fermi window, producing large enhancement of the Seebeck coefficient at low temperatures. For the small gap insulator at $n_d = n_f + n_c$, we observe almost metallic transport at high tempera-



Figure 7. (Colour online) Temperature dependences of the dc charge $\sigma_{\rm dc}$ and thermal $\kappa_{\rm e}$ conductivities, Lorentz number L ($L_0 = \pi^2/3$), and thermopower (Seebeck coefficient) S for U = 2.0, $n_f = 0.75$, $t_2 = -0.5$ ($t^{++} = 0$), $n_d = 0.25 + n_c$.

tures and an enhancement of thermopower at very low temperatures.

Switching on the hopping between the sites occupied by f electrons, $t^{++} > 0$ ($t_2 < -0.5$), leads to the spreading of the δ -peak from localized states on DOS into the narrow band and the narrow resonant peek appears on the transport function, figure 8. Now, the gaps on the DOS and transport function are of the same width, that restores the typical behaviour for the Mott insulator case. The transport properties, figure 9, of the hole doped Mott insulator, $n_d = 1 - n_f + n_c$ with $n_c < 0$, are similar to the one discussed in the previous case of $t^{++} = 0$, but one can notice a strong enhancement of the dc charge conductivity for the electron doping, $n_c > 0$, when chemical potential enters the resonant peek on transport function. Also, for electron doping, the Seebeck coefficient displays almost flat temperature dependence in a wide temperature range and it starts to decrease in an expected manner at $T \to 0$.

For larger values of the hopping amplitude t^{++} between the sites occupied by f electrons, the middle band of localized states joints with the upper Hubbard band. Now we observe a huge enhancement at the



Figure 8. Density of states $A_d(\omega)$ and transport function $I(\omega)$ for U = 2.0, $n_f = 0.75$, and $t_2 = -0.496$ ($t^{++} = 0.008$).



Figure 9. (Colour online) Temperature dependences of the dc charge $\sigma_{\rm dc}$ and thermal $\kappa_{\rm e}$ conductivities, Lorentz number L ($L_0 = \pi^2/3$), and thermopower (Seebeck coefficient) S for U = 2.0, $n_f = 0.75$, $t_2 = -0.496$ ($t^{++} = 0.008$), $n_d = 0.25 + n_c$.

bottom of the upper Hubbard band as on DOS as on transport function due to resonant peek, figure 10. The temperature dependences of the dc charge and thermal conductivities are similar to the discussed above but the thermopower displays an anomaly for the case of Mott insulator, figure 11. Now, the Seebeck coefficient S(T) is positive at high temperatures and increases with its decreasing up to some temperature value. For lower temperatures it starts to decrease, change its sign and rapidly increase at low temperatures.



Figure 10. Density of states $A_d(\omega)$ and transport function $I(\omega)$ for U = 2.0, $n_f = 0.75$, and $t_2 = -0.48$ ($t^{++} = 0.04$).



Figure 11. (Colour online) Temperature dependences of the dc charge $\sigma_{\rm dc}$ and thermal $\kappa_{\rm e}$ conductivities, Lorentz number L ($L_0 = \pi^2/3$), and thermopower (Seebeck coefficient) S for U = 2.0, $n_f = 0.75$, $t_2 = -0.48$ ($t^{++} = 0.04$), $n_d = 0.25 + n_c$.

4. Conclusions

In this article we have discussed the peculiarities of the charge and thermal transport in the Falicov-Kimball model with correlated hopping at light doping of the Mott insulator phase. The cases of the strongly reduced hopping amplitude t^{++} between the sites occupied by the f electrons, when the DOS and transport function display anomalous features. including edge singularity, resonant peek, and additional band of localized states at $n_f > 0.5$, are considered. At half filling $n_f = 0.5$ and in the Mott insulator phase, $n_c = 0$, the dc charge and thermal conductivities display typical behaviour for the large gap insulators with asymmetric DOS, whereas the light hole and electron doping restore the bad metallic conductivity with an enhanced thermopower for electron doping case. Outside the half filling case, when $n_f > 0.5$, and for the completely reduced hopping between the sites occupied by f-electrons, the gaps on the DOS and transport function do not coincide, which results in anomalous thermoelectric transport at low temperatures featuring strong reduction of the Lorentz number and huge enhancement of thermopower for the electron doping case.

5. Acknowledgments

The present study was partially supported by the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine under Grant for the Research groups of young scientists (Contract No.7/2019).

References

- Costi T.A., Hewson A.C., Zlatić V., J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 1994, 6, No. 13, 2519–2558, doi:10.1088/0953-8984/6/13/013.
- Hubbard J., Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A, 1963, 276, No. 1365, 238–257, doi:10.1098/rspa.1963.0204.
- Hirsch J.E., Physica C, 1989, 158, No. 3, 326–336, doi:10.1016/ 0921-4534(89)90225-6.
- Bułka B.R., Phys. Rev. B, 1998, 57, No. 17, 10303–10306, doi:10. 1103/PhysRevB.57.10303.
- Arrachea L., Gagliano E.R., Aligia A.A., Phys. Rev. B, 1997, 55, No. 2, 1173–1184, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.55.1173.
- Tsuchiizu M., Omori Y., Suzumura Y., Bonnet M.L., Robert V., J. Chem. Phys., 2012, 136, No. 4, 044519, doi:10.1063/1.3678307.

- Didukh L., Skorenkyy Y., Dovhopyaty Y., Hankevych V., Phys. Rev. B, 2000, 61, No. 12, 7893–7908, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.61.7893.
- Kollar M., Vollhardt D., Phys. Rev. B, 2001, 63, No. 4, 045107 (5 pages), doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.63.045107.
- Meir Y., Hirose K., Wingreen N.S., Phys. Rev. Lett., 2002, 89, No. 19, 196802 (4 pages), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.196802.
- Hübsch A., Lin J.C., Pan J., Cox D.L., Phys. Rev. Lett., 2006, 96, No. 19, 196401 (4 pages), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.196401.
- Tooski S.B., Ramšak A., Bułka B.R., Žitko R., New J. Phys., 2014, 16, No. 5, 055001 (17 pages), doi:10.1088/1367-2630/16/5/055001.
- Jürgensen O., Sengstock K., Lühmann D.S., Phys. Rev. A, 2012, 86, No. 4, 043623 (10 pages), doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.86.043623.
- Liberto M.D., Creffield C.E., Japaridze G.I., Smith C.M., Phys. Rev. A, 2014, 89, No. 1, 013624 (10 pages), doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.89. 013624.
- Eckholt M., García-Ripoll J.J., New J. Phys., 2009, 11, No. 9, 093028 (26 pages), doi:10.1088/1367-2630/11/9/093028.
- Lühmann D.S., Jürgensen O., Sengstock K., New J. Phys., 2012, 14, No. 3, 033021 (20 pages), doi:10.1088/1367-2630/14/3/033021.
- Jürgensen O., Meinert F., Mark M.J., Nägerl H.C., Lühmann D.S., Phys. Rev. Lett., 2014, **113**, No. 19, 193003 (5 pages), doi:10.1103/ PhysRevLett.113.193003.
- Falicov L.M., Kimball J.C., Phys. Rev. Lett., 1969, 22, No. 19, 997– 999, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.22.997.
- Gajek Z., Lemański R., Acta Phys. Pol. B, 2001, 32, No. 10, 3473– 3476.
- Wojtkiewicz J., Lemański R., Phys. Rev. B, 2001, 64, No. 23, 233103 (4 pages), doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.64.233103.
- Wojtkiewicz J., Lemański R., Acta Phys. Pol. B, 2001, 32, No. 10, 3467–3472.
- Čenčariková H., Farkašovský P., Condens. Matter Phys., 2011, 14, No. 4, 42701 (66 pages), doi:10.5488/CMP.14.42701.
- Brandt U., Mielsch C., Z. Phys. B: Condens. Matter, 1989, 75, No. 3, 365–370, doi:10.1007/BF01321824.
- Freericks J.K., Zlatić V., Rev. Mod. Phys., 2003, 75, No. 4, 1333– 1382, doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.75.1333.
- Metzner W., Vollhardt D., Phys. Rev. Lett., 1989, 62, No. 3, 324– 327, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.324.
- Georges A., Kotliar G., Krauth W., Rozenberg M.J., Rev. Mod. Phys., 1996, 68, No. 1, 13–125, doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.68.13.
- 26. Schiller A., Phys. Rev. B, 1999, 60, No. 23, 15660-15663, doi:10.

1103/PhysRevB.60.15660.

- Shvaika A.M., Phys. Rev. B, 2003, 67, No. 7, 075101 (12 pages), doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.67.075101.
- Dobushovskyi D.A., Shvaika A.M., Zlatić V., Phys. Rev. B, 2017, 95, No. 12, 125133 (15 pages), doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.95.125133.
- Dobushovskyi D.A., Shvaika A.M., Condens. Matter Phys., 2018, 21, No. 2, 23702 (16 pages), doi:10.5488/CMP.21.23702.
- Zlatić V., Freericks J.K., Phys. Rev. Lett., 2012, 109, No. 26, 266601 (5 pages), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.266601.
- Zlatić V., Boyd G.R., Freericks J.K., Phys. Rev. B, 2014, 89, No. 15, 155101 (5 pages), doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.89.155101.
- Metzner W., Phys. Rev. B, 1991, 43, No. 10, 8549–8563, doi:10. 1103/PhysRevB.43.8549.
- Jonson M., Mahan G.D., Phys. Rev. B, 1980, 21, No. 10, 4223–4229, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.21.4223.
- Jonson M., Mahan G.D., Phys. Rev. B, 1990, 42, No. 15, 9350–9356, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.42.9350.
- Freericks J.K., Zlatić V., Shvaika A.M., Phys. Rev. B, 2007, 75, No. 3, 035133 (16 pages), doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.75.035133.
- Shvaika A.M., Condens. Matter Phys., 2014, 17, No. 4, 43704 (14 pages), doi:10.5488/CMP.17.43704.
- Arsenault L.F., Tremblay A.M.S., Phys. Rev. B, 2013, 88, No. 20, 205109 (10 pages), doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.88.205109.
- Chung W., Freericks J.K., Phys. Rev. B, 1998, 57, No. 19, 11955– 11961, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.57.11955.
- Yamamoto K., Aharony A., Entin-Wohlman O., Hatano N., Phys. Rev. B, 2017, 96, No. 15, 155201 (14 pages), doi:10.1103/PhysRevB. 96.155201.
- Joura A.V., Demchenko D.O., Freericks J.K., Phys. Rev. B, 2004, 69, No. 16, 165105 (5 pages), doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.69.165105.

CONDENSED MATTER PHYSICS

The journal **Condensed Matter Physics** is founded in 1993 and published by Institute for Condensed Matter Physics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine.

AIMS AND SCOPE: The journal **Condensed Matter Physics** contains research and review articles in the field of statistical mechanics and condensed matter theory. The main attention is paid to physics of solid, liquid and amorphous systems, phase equilibria and phase transitions, thermal, structural, electric, magnetic and optical properties of condensed matter. Condensed Matter Physics is published quarterly.

ABSTRACTED/INDEXED IN: Chemical Abstract Service, Current Contents/Physical, Chemical&Earth Sciences; ISI Science Citation Index-Expanded, ISI Alerting Services; INSPEC; "Referatyvnyj Zhurnal"; "Dzherelo".

EDITOR IN CHIEF: Ihor Yukhnovskii.

EDITORIAL BOARD: T. Arimitsu, Tsukuba; J.-P. Badiali, Paris; B. Berche, Nancy; T. Bryk (Associate Editor), Lviv; J.-M. Caillol, Orsay; C. von Ferber, Coventry; R. Folk, Linz; L.E. Gonzalez, Valladolid; D. Henderson, Provo; F. Hirata, Okazaki; Yu. Holovatch (Associate Editor), Lviv; M. Holovko (Associate Editor), Lviv; O. Ivankiv (Managing Editor), Lviv; Ja. Ilnytskyi (Assistant Editor), Lviv; N. Jakse, Grenoble; W. Janke, Leipzig; J. Jedrzejewski, Wrocław; Yu. Kalvuzhnvi, Lviv; R. Kenna, Coventry; M. Korynevskii, Lviv; Yu. Kozitsky, Lublin: M. Kozlovskii, Lviv: O. Lavrentovich, Kent: M. Lebovka, Kuiv; R. Lemanski, Wrocław; R. Levitskii, Lviv; V. Loktev, Kyiv; E. Lomba, Madrid: O. Makhanets, Chernivtsi; V. Morozov, Moscow; I. Mrvglod (Associate Editor), Lviv; O. Patsahan (Assistant Editor), Lviv; O. Pizio, Mexico; N. Plakida, Dubna; G. Ruocco, Rome; A. Seitsonen, Zürich; S. Sharapov, Kyiv, Ya. Shchur, Lviv, A. Shvaika (Associate Editor), Lviv, S. Sokołowski, Lublin; I. Stasyuk (Associate Editor), Lviv; J. Strečka, Košice; S. Thurner, Vienna; M. Tokarchuk, Lviv; I. Vakarchuk, Lviv; V. Vlachy, Ljubljana; A. Zagorodny, Kyiv

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Institute for Condensed Matter Physics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine 1 Svientsitskii Str., 79011 Lviv, Ukraine Tel: +38(032)2761978; Fax: +38(032)2761158 E-mail: cmp@icmp.lviv.ua http://www.icmp.lviv.ua