Thermodynamics of the S = 1 Heisenberg antiferromagnet on kagome lattice

<u>T. Hutak</u>,^a T. Krokhmalskii,^a O. Derzhko^{a,b} and J. Richter^c

^aInstitute for Condensed Matter Physics, National Academy of

Sciences of Ukraine, 1 Svientsitskii Str., 79011 L'viv, Ukraine

^bDepartment of Metal Physics, Ivan Franko National University of

L'viv, 8 Kyrylo & Mephodiy Str., 79005 L'viv, Ukraine

^cInstitut für theoretische Physik, Otto-von-Guericke-Universität

Magdeburg, P.O. Box 4120, 39016 Magdeburg, Germany

We discuss the thermodynamics of frustrated quantum Heisenberg antiferromagnets (HAFM) using high-temperature series [1] complemented by various interpolation schemes [2,3]. To be specific, we focus on the spin-1 kagome-lattice HAFM and examine the specific heat c(T) and the uniform susceptibility $\chi(T)$ for this model. For the gapped ground state the energy values $e_0 = -1.369 \dots -1.4416$ are reported and the gap is estimated as $\Delta = 0.17 \dots 0.28$. We use the entropy method [2] and the log Z method [3] assuming the low-T behavior $c(T) \propto \exp(-\Delta/T)/T^2$. If we assume $e_0 = -1.43... - 1.45$, various Padé approximants used for the interpolation yield almost the same temperature profiles c(T) and $\chi(T)$. Moreover, the results using the entropy method agree well with those using the $\log Z$ method. The specific heat exhibits a shoulder-like behavior at low temperatures, i.e., starting from T = 0 it shows a fast increase to $c \approx 0.25$ until $T \approx 0.16$ which is followed by a slow increase to $c \approx 0.3$ as further increasing the temperature to $T \approx 1$, and the typical decrease to zero as $T \to \infty$. The entropy deficit estimated from the raw series [4] is noticeably smaller than the one for the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ case thus giving some evidence for the absence of the low-T peak in c(T) for the spin-1 kagome HAFM. The obtained $\chi(T)$ shows typical behavior growing to $\chi \approx 0.13$ at $T \approx 1$ and then following the Curie law as $T \to \infty$.

[1] A. Lohmann, H.-J. Schmidt, and J. Richter, Phys. Rev. B 89, 014415 (2014).

[2] B. Bernu and G. Misguich, Phys. Rev. B 63, 134409 (2001);
G. Misguich and B. Bernu, Phys. Rev. B 71, 014417 (2005); B. Bernu and C. Lhuillier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 057201 (2015).

[3] H.-J. Schmidt, A. Hauser, A. Lohmann, and J. Richter, Phys. Rev. E 95, 042110 (2017).

[4] N. Elstner and A. P. Young, Phys. Rev. B 50, 6871 (1994).